

Optional semimartingale decomposition and no arbitrage condition in enlarged filtration

Anna Aksamit

Laboratoire d'Analyse & Probabilités, Université d'Evry

Onzième Colloque Jeunes Probabilistes et Statisticiens
Forges-les-Eaux 2014



Non-arbitrage up to Random Horizon for Semimartingale Models

T. CHOULLI, A. A., J. DENG and M. JEANBLANC, 2013,

<http://arxiv.org/abs/1310.1142>



Optional semimartingale decomposition and NUPBR condition in enlarged filtration A. A., T. CHOULLI, and M. JEANBLANC, 2014, Working paper

Problem

- ▶ $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{P})$ is filtered probability space where filtration $\mathbb{H} = (\mathcal{H}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies usual conditions.
- ▶ $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a **price process** of a risky asset, i.e., an \mathbb{H} -semimartingale.
- ▶ $\theta = (\theta_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is an \mathbb{H} -**trading strategy**, i.e., an \mathbb{H} -predictable process, integrable w.r.t. X in \mathbb{H} .

By $L^{\mathbb{H}}(X)$ we denote the set of all \mathbb{H} -trading strategies.

- ▶ $\theta \cdot X = (\int_0^t \theta_s dX_s)_{t \geq 0}$ is a **wealth process** of \mathbb{H} -trading strategy θ .
- ▶ Consider $\mathbb{H} \in \{\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G}\}$ with $\mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{G}$, i.e., for each $t \geq 0$, $\mathcal{F}_t \subset \mathcal{G}_t$.
 \mathbb{F} represents **regular agent** and \mathbb{G} represents **informed agent**.
- ▶ **Assume that there are no arbitrage opportunities in \mathbb{F} . Are there arbitrage opportunities in \mathbb{G} ?**

Non-arbitrage condition – NUPBR

- ▶ Let X be an \mathbb{H} -semimartingale. We say that X satisfies **No Unbounded Profit with Bounded Risk** (NUPBR(\mathbb{H})) if for each $T < \infty$, the set

$$\mathcal{K}_T^{\mathbb{H}}(X) := \{(\theta \cdot X)_T : \theta \in L^{\mathbb{H}}(X) \text{ and } \theta \cdot X \geq -1\}$$

is bounded in probability.

- ▶ The \mathbb{H} -semimartingale X satisfies NUPBR(\mathbb{H}) if there exists **\mathbb{H} -local martingale deflator** for X , i.e., a strictly positive \mathbb{H} -local martingale L such that LX is an \mathbb{H} -local martingale.

Enlargement of filtration

- ▶ We say that (H') **hypothesis** is satisfied for $\mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{G}$ if every \mathbb{F} -martingale remains \mathbb{G} -semimartingale.

In such a case we are interested in \mathbb{G} -semimartingale decomposition of \mathbb{F} -martingale.

- ▶ **Progressive enlargement**: Jeulin-Yor's result up to random time.
- ▶ **Initial enlargement**: Jacod's results on enlargement of filtration and Stricker-Yor's results on calculus with parameter.

Random times

Let τ be a random time, i.e., a positive random variable.

Consider two \mathbb{F} -supermartingales associated to τ :

- ▶ The process Z_t defined as $Z_t = \mathbb{P}(\tau > t | \mathcal{F}_t)$
- ▶ The process \tilde{Z}_t defined as $\tilde{Z}_t = \mathbb{P}(\tau \geq t | \mathcal{F}_t)$

Let A° be a \mathbb{F} -dual optional projection of the process $A = \mathbb{1}_{[\tau, \infty[}$, i.e., for each optional process Y , A° satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}(Y_\tau \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau < \infty\}}) = \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{[0, \infty[} Y_s dA_s^\circ\right)$$

Denote by m an \mathbb{F} -martingale defined as $m_t = \mathbb{E}(A_\infty^\circ | \mathcal{F}_t)$. Then

- ▶ $Z = m - A^\circ$ and $\tilde{Z} = m - A_-^\circ$
- ▶ $\Delta m = \tilde{Z} - Z_-$ and $\Delta A^\circ = \tilde{Z} - Z$

Progressive enlargement of filtration

Progressively enlarged filtration \mathbb{G} associated with τ is defined as

$$\mathcal{G}_t = \bigcap_{s > t} (\mathcal{F}_s \vee \sigma(\tau \wedge s)).$$

Jeulin-Yor's decomposition

For each \mathbb{F} -local martingale X , the stopped process X^τ is \mathbb{G} -semimartingale with semimartingale decomposition

$$X_t^\tau = \hat{X}_t + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \frac{1}{Z_{s-}} d\langle X, m \rangle_s^{\mathbb{F}}$$

where \hat{X} is \mathbb{G} -local martingale.

\mathbb{F} -stopping time R

- ▶ The three sets $\{\tilde{Z} = 0\}$, $\{Z = 0\}$ and $\{Z_- = 0\}$ have the same début which is an \mathbb{F} -stopping time

$$R := \inf\{t \geq 0 : Z_t = 0\}$$

- ▶ We decompose R as $R = \tilde{R} \wedge \hat{R} \wedge \bar{R}$ with \mathbb{F} -stopping times:

$$\tilde{R} := R_{\{\tilde{Z}_R = 0 < Z_{R-}\}} \quad \hat{R} := R_{\{\tilde{Z}_R > 0\}} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{R} := R_{\{Z_{R-} = 0\}}$$

- ▶ Notice that $\tilde{Z}_\tau > 0$ and $Z_{\tau-} > 0$.

Optional semimartingale decomposition in \mathbb{G}

For \mathbb{H} -locally integrable variation process V , we denote by $V^{p,\mathbb{H}}$ its \mathbb{H} -dual predictable projection, i.e., an \mathbb{H} -predictable finite variation process such that for each \mathbb{H} -predictable process Y , $V^{p,\mathbb{H}}$ satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{[0,\infty[} Y_s dV_s\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{[0,\infty[} Y_s dV_s^{p,\mathbb{H}}\right).$$

Theorem

Let X be a \mathbb{F} -local martingale. Then

$$X_t^\tau = \bar{X}_t + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \frac{1}{Z_s} d[X, m]_s - \left(\Delta X_{\tilde{R}} \mathbb{1}_{[\tilde{R}, \infty[} \right)_{t \wedge \tau}^{p,\mathbb{F}}$$

where \bar{X} is a \mathbb{G} -local martingale.

Projections in \mathbb{G} in terms of projections in \mathbb{F}

Lemma

Let V be an \mathbb{F} -adapted process with locally integrable variation. Then, we have

► $(V^\tau)^{p,\mathbb{G}} = \frac{1}{Z_-} \mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau]} \cdot (\tilde{Z} \cdot V)^{p,\mathbb{F}}.$

► The process

$$U := \frac{1}{\tilde{Z}} \mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau]} \cdot V$$

is locally integrable variation process in \mathbb{G} and

$$U^{p,\mathbb{G}} = \frac{1}{Z_-} \mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau]} \cdot (\mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{Z} > 0\}} \cdot V)^{p,\mathbb{F}}.$$

\mathbb{G} -local martingale deflator

- Defined \mathbb{G} -local martingale

$$\bar{N} = \frac{1}{Z_-} \mathbb{1}_{[0, \tau]} \cdot \bar{m}$$

- Then, continuous martingale part and jump process of \bar{N} are of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{N}^c &= \frac{1}{Z_-} \mathbb{1}_{[0, \tau]} \cdot \bar{m}^c \\ \Delta \bar{N} &= \frac{1}{Z_-} \Delta \bar{m} \mathbb{1}_{[0, \tau]} = \frac{\Delta m}{\widetilde{Z}} \mathbb{1}_{[0, \tau]} - {}^{p, \mathbb{F}} \left(\mathbb{1}_{[\widetilde{R}]} \right) \mathbb{1}_{[0, \tau]}\end{aligned}$$

- Clearly

$$-\Delta \bar{N} \geq \left(-1 + \frac{Z_-}{\widetilde{Z}} \right) \mathbb{1}_{[0, \tau]} > -1$$

\mathbb{G} -local martingale deflator

Theorem

Let $L = \mathcal{E}(-\bar{N})$. Then, for any \mathbb{F} -local martingale X , the process

$$LX^\tau - L_- \cdot \left(\left(\Delta X_{\tilde{R}} + \frac{\Delta \langle m, X \rangle_{\tilde{R}}}{Z_{\tilde{R}-}} \right) \mathbb{1}_{[\tilde{R}, \infty[} \right)_{\cdot \wedge \tau}^{p, \mathbb{F}}$$

is a \mathbb{G} -local martingale.

Corollary

If X is quasi-left continuous and $\Delta X_{\tilde{R}} = 0$ on $\{\tilde{R} < \infty\}$, then L is a \mathbb{G} -local martingale deflator for X^τ .

Non-arbitrage up to Random Horizon

Theorem

Let τ be a random time. Then, the following are equivalent:

- 1. The thin set $\{\tilde{Z} = 0 \ \& \ Z_- > 0\}$ is evanescent.*
- 2. \mathbb{F} -stopping time $\tilde{R} = \infty$.*
- 3. For any \mathbb{F} -local martingale X , process $X^\tau L$ is a \mathbb{G} -local martingale.*
- 4. For any process X satisfying $NUPBR(\mathbb{F})$, X^τ satisfies $NUPBR(\mathbb{G})$.*

Proof

► 2. \Rightarrow 3. Optional decomposition

► 3. \Rightarrow 2. \mathbb{F} -martingale $X = \mathbb{1}_{[\tilde{R}, \infty[} - \left(\mathbb{1}_{[\tilde{R}, \infty[} \right)^{p, \mathbb{F}}$ stopped at τ :

$$X^\tau = - \left(\mathbb{1}_{[\tilde{R}, \infty[} \right)^{p, \mathbb{F}}_{\cdot \wedge \tau}$$

yields that $\tilde{R} = \infty$

► 3. \Rightarrow 4. Takaoka's characterization, localization and equivalent change of measure

Initial enlargement of filtration

- ▶ Initially enlarged filtration \mathbb{G} associated with random variable ξ is defined as

$$\mathcal{G}_t = \bigcap_{s > t} (\mathcal{F}_s \vee \sigma(\xi)).$$

- ▶ **Jacod's hypothesis**

A real-valued random variable ξ satisfies Jacod's hypothesis if there exists a σ -finite positive measure η such that for every $t \geq 0$

$$\mathbb{P}(\xi \in du | \mathcal{F}_t)(\omega) \ll \eta(du) \text{ } \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

- ▶ As shown by Jacod, without loss of generality, η can be taken as law of ξ in the above definition.

Parameterized processes

- ▶ Stricker-Yor's calculus with parameter
- ▶ Consider a mapping $X : (t, \omega, u) \rightarrow X_t^u(\omega)$ with values in \mathbb{R} on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$.
- ▶ Let \mathcal{J} be a class of \mathbb{F} -optional processes, for example the class of \mathbb{F} -(local) martingales or the class of \mathbb{F} -locally integrable variation processes.

Then, $(X^u, u \in \mathbb{R})$ is called a parametrized \mathcal{J} -process if for each $u \in \mathbb{U}$ the process X^u belongs to \mathcal{J} and if it is measurable with respect to $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$.

Initial enlargement under Jacod's hypothesis

- ▶ For ξ satisfying Jacod's hypothesis, there exists a parameterized positive \mathbb{F} -martingale $(q^u, u \in \mathbb{R})$ such that for every $t \geq 0$, the measure $q_t^u(\omega)\eta(du)$ is a version of $\mathbb{P}(\xi \in du | \mathcal{F}_t)(\omega)$.

- ▶ **Semimartingale decomposition**

Let $(X^u, u \in \mathbb{R})$ be a parameterized \mathbb{F} -local martingale. Then

$$X_t^\xi = \widehat{X}_t^\xi + \int_0^t \frac{1}{q_{s-}^\xi} d\langle X^u, q^u \rangle_s^{\mathbb{F}}|_{u=\xi}$$

where \widehat{X}^ξ is an \mathbb{G} -local martingale.

\mathbb{F} -stopping times R^u

- For each u define \mathbb{F} -stopping time

$$R^u = \inf\{t : q_t^u = 0\}.$$

- We have $q^u > 0$ and $q_-^u > 0$ on $\llbracket 0, R^u \llbracket$ and $q^u = 0$ on $\llbracket R^u, \infty \llbracket$.
- \mathbb{G} -stopping time $R^\xi = \infty$ a.s. or equivalently $q_t^\xi > 0$ and $q_{t-}^\xi > 0$ for $t \geq 0$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. Let us decompose \mathbb{F} -stopping time R^u as $R^u = \tilde{R}^u \wedge \bar{R}^u$ with

$$\tilde{R}^u = R_{\{q_{R^u-}^u > 0\}}^u \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{R}^u = R_{\{q_{R^u-}^u = 0\}}^u.$$

Optional semimartingale decomposition in \mathbb{G}

Theorem

Let $(X^u, u \in \mathbb{R})$ be a parameterized \mathbb{F} -local martingale. Then X^ξ decomposes as \mathbb{G} -semimartingale as

$$X_t^\xi = \bar{X}_t^\xi + \int_0^t \frac{1}{q_s^\xi} d[X^\xi, q^\xi]_s - \left(\Delta X_{\tilde{R}^u}^u \mathbb{1}_{[\tilde{R}^u, \infty[} \right)^{p, \mathbb{F}} \Big|_{u=\xi}$$

where \bar{X}^ξ is a \mathbb{G} -local martingale.

Projections in \mathbb{G} in terms of projections in \mathbb{F}

Lemma

Let $(V^u, u \in \mathbb{R})$ be a parameterized \mathbb{F} -adapted càdlàg process with locally integrable variation. Then,

- ▶ The \mathbb{G} -dual predictable projection of V^ξ is

$$(V^\xi)^{p, \mathbb{G}} = \frac{1}{q_-^\xi} \cdot (q^u \cdot V^u)^{p, \mathbb{F}}|_{u=\xi}.$$

- ▶ If V belongs to $\mathcal{A}_{loc}^+(\mathbb{F})$, then the process $U := \frac{1}{q^\xi} \cdot V$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}_{loc}^+(\mathbb{G})$.
- ▶ The parametrized process $(U^u, u \in \mathbb{R})$ is well defined, its variation is \mathbb{G} -locally integrable, and \mathbb{G} -dual predictable projection of U^ξ is given by

$$(U^\xi)^{p, \mathbb{G}} = \frac{1}{q_-^\xi} \cdot (\mathbb{1}_{\{q^u > 0\}} \cdot V^u)^{p, \mathbb{F}}|_{u=\xi}.$$

\mathbb{G} -local martingale \bar{q}^ξ

Lemma

Take the following \mathbb{G} -local martingale

$$\bar{q}^\xi := q^\xi - \frac{1}{q_-^\xi} \cdot [q^\xi] - q_-^\xi \cdot \left(\mathbb{1}_{[\tilde{R}^u, \infty[} \right)^{p, \mathbb{F}} \Big|_{u=\xi}.$$

- ▶ The \mathbb{G} -predictable process $\frac{1}{q_-^\xi}$ is integrable with respect to \bar{q}^ξ .
- ▶ The \mathbb{G} -local martingale

$$N := \frac{1}{q_-^\xi} \cdot \bar{q}^\xi$$

has continuous martingale part and jump equal respectively to

$$N^c = \frac{1}{q_-^\xi} \cdot \left((q^\xi)^c - \frac{1}{q_-^\xi} \cdot \langle (q^\xi)^c \rangle^{\mathbb{F}} \right) \quad \Delta N = \frac{\Delta q^\xi}{q^\xi} - {}^{p, \mathbb{F}} \left(\mathbb{1}_{[\tilde{R}^u]} \right) \Big|_{u=\xi}.$$

\mathbb{G} -supermartingale $\frac{1}{q^\xi}$

Lemma

- The process $\frac{1}{q^\xi}$ is \mathbb{G} -supermartingale with decomposition

$$\frac{1}{q^\xi} = 1 - \frac{1}{(q_-^\xi)^2} \cdot \bar{q}^\xi - \frac{1}{q_-^\xi} \cdot \left(\mathbb{1}_{[\tilde{R}^u, \infty[} \right)^{p, \mathbb{F}} \Big|_{u=\xi}.$$

Moreover, it can be written as stochastic exponential of the form

$$\frac{1}{q^\xi} = \mathcal{E} \left(-\frac{1}{q_-^\xi} \cdot \bar{q}^\xi - \left(\mathbb{1}_{[\tilde{R}^u, \infty[} \right)^{p, \mathbb{F}} \Big|_{u=\xi} \right).$$

- The process $\frac{1}{q^\xi}$ is \mathbb{G} -local martingale if and only if $\tilde{R}^u = \infty$ $\mathbb{P} \otimes \eta$ -a.s.
Then $\frac{1}{q^\xi} = \mathcal{E} \left(-\frac{1}{q_-^\xi} \cdot \bar{q}^\xi \right) = \mathcal{E}(-N)$.

\mathbb{G} -local martingale deflator

Theorem

Let $L = \mathcal{E}(-N)$. Then, for any parametrized \mathbb{F} -local martingale $(X^u, u \in \mathbb{R})$, the process

$$LX^\xi - L_- \cdot \left(\left(\Delta X_{\tilde{R}^u}^u + \frac{\Delta \langle q^u, X \rangle_{\tilde{R}^u}}{q_{\tilde{R}^u-}^u} \right) \mathbb{1}_{[\tilde{R}^u, \infty[} \right)^{p, \mathbb{F}} \Big|_{u=\xi}$$

is a \mathbb{G} -local martingale.

Corollary

If X is quasi-left continuous and $\Delta X_{\tilde{R}^u}^u = 0$ on $\{R^u < \infty\}$ $\mathbb{P} \otimes \eta$ -a.s., then L is a \mathbb{G} -local martingale deflator for X^ξ in \mathbb{G} .

NUPBR condition for initial enlargement under Jacod's hypothesis

Theorem

The following conditions are equivalent:

1. *The thin set $\{q^u = 0 < q_-^u\}$ is evanescent η -a.a.*
2. *The \mathbb{F} -stopping time $\tilde{R}^u = \infty$ $\mathbb{P} \otimes \eta$ -a.s.*
3. *If $(X^u, u \in \mathbb{R})$ is parameterized \mathbb{F} -local martingale, then X^ξ satisfies NUPBR(\mathbb{G}). Moreover, $\frac{X^\xi}{q^\xi}$ is a \mathbb{G} -local martingale, i.e., $\frac{1}{q^\xi}$ is its \mathbb{G} -local martingale deflator.*

Proof

- ▶ 2. \Rightarrow 3. Optional decomposition under Jacod's hypothesis
- ▶ 3. \Rightarrow 2. Parameterized \mathbb{F} -martingale $(X^u, u \in \mathbb{R})$ with $X^u = \mathbb{1}_{[\tilde{R}^u, \infty[} - \left(\mathbb{1}_{[\tilde{R}^u, \infty[} \right)^{p, \mathbb{F}}$ "at ξ ":

$$X^\xi = - \left(\mathbb{1}_{[\tilde{R}^u, \infty[} \right)^{p, \mathbb{F}} \Big|_{u=\xi}$$

yields that $\tilde{R}^u = \infty$ $\mathbb{P} \otimes \eta$ -a.s.

Optional semimartingale decomposition in \mathbb{G}

- ▶ Let \mathbb{Q} be a probability absolutely continuous with respect to \mathbb{P} , and let $\zeta_t = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} | \mathcal{F}_t)$, $S = \inf\{t > 0 : \zeta_t = 0\}$ and $\tilde{S} = S_{\{\zeta_{s-} > 0\}}$.

Let X be a \mathbb{P} -local martingale. Then, X has decomposition under \mathbb{Q} :

$$X = \bar{X} + \frac{1}{\zeta} \cdot [X, \zeta] - \left(\Delta X_{\tilde{S}} \mathbb{1}_{[\tilde{S}, \infty[} \right)^{p, \mathbb{P}}$$

where \bar{X} is \mathbb{Q} -local martingale.

- ▶ Up to random time τ :

$$X^\tau = \bar{X} + \frac{1}{\zeta_s} \mathbb{1}_{[0, \tau]} \cdot [X, m] - \left(\Delta X_{\tilde{R}} \mathbb{1}_{[\tilde{R}, \infty[} \right)^{p, \mathbb{F}}_{\cdot \wedge \tau}$$

- ▶ Under Jacod's hypothesis:

$$X^\xi = \bar{X}^\xi + \frac{1}{q^\xi} \cdot [X, q^\xi] - \left(\Delta X_{\tilde{R}^u}^u \mathbb{1}_{[\tilde{R}^u, \infty[} \right)^{p, \mathbb{F}} \Big|_{u=\xi}$$

Thank you!